

DEBITAGE AND BIPOLAR PRODUCTION

READING LIST FOR SEPTEMBER 25, 2001

ANTH 228 - LITHIC TECHNOLOGY

+ denotes recommended, not required reading

Andrefsky, Chapters 5 & 6 (Debitage)

+Ahler, S.A. (1989). Mass analysis of flaking debris: studying the forest rather than the tree. In Henry, D.O., and Odell, G.H. (eds), *Alternative Approaches to Lithic Analysis*, pp. 85-118. Archaeological Papers of the American Anthropological Association 1.

Dibble, H., and Pelcin A. (1995). The effect of mass and velocity on flake mass. *Journal of Archaeological Science* **22**:429-439.

+Fish, P. (1979). The interpretive potential of Mousteriandebitage. *Anthropological Research Papers* 16. Arizona State University.

Fish, P. (1981). Beyond tools: Middle Paleolithicdebitage analysis and cultural inference. *Journal of Anthropological Research* **37**:374-386.

Kuijt, I., Prentiss, W.C., and Pokotylo, D.L. (1995). Bipolar reduction: an experimental study ofdebitage variability. *Lithic Technology* **20**:116-127.

Schofield, A.J. (1991). Lithic distributions in the Upper Meon Valley: behavioral response and human adaptation on the Hampshire Chalklands. *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society* **57**(Part 2):159-178.

+Shott, M.J. (1989). Bipolar industries: ethnographic evidence and archaeological implications. *North American Archaeologist* **10**:1-24.

Shott, M.J. (1994). Size and form in the analysis of flake debris: review and recent approaches. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* **1**:69-110.

Sullivan, A., and Rozen, K. (1985). Debitage analysis and archaeological implication. *American Antiquity* **50**:755-799. (Issues raised here were flogged in gory detail by these and several critics in *Am. Antiq.* **54**:166-184).